

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

PRADYUMNA KUMAR SAMAL,

Defendant.

No. CR18-214JLR

~~PROPOSED~~ 

**ORDER OF FORFEITURE**

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Entry of an Order of Forfeiture seeking to forfeit, to the United States, Defendant Pradyumna Kumar Samal's interest in the following property:

- A money judgment in the amount of \$1,625,532.84, representing property obtained by the Defendant that constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341 (Mail Fraud).

The Court, having reviewed the papers and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS entry of an Order of Forfeiture is appropriate because:

- 1 • The Defendant was charged in a Second Superseding Information with  
2 offenses including Mail Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341, (Dkt. No.  
3 47);
- 4 • The Second Superseding Information included forfeiture allegations  
5 providing notice that, upon the Defendant's conviction of the charged Mail  
6 Fraud offense, the United States would seek the forfeiture of any property,  
7 real or personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to  
8 such offense, including but not limited to a judgment for a sum of money  
9 representing such property (*See* Dkt. No. 47, at 8–10);
- 10 • The Defendant pleaded guilty to the charged Mail Fraud offense, (Dkt.  
11 No. 51, ¶¶ 2, 10);
- 12 • The evidence in the record, including information contained within the Plea  
13 Agreement and the Presentence Investigation Report, has established the  
14 requisite nexus between the above-described money judgment and the  
15 offense of conviction, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure  
16 (“Fed. R. Crim. P.”) 32.2(b)(1)(B); and
- 17 • No ancillary proceeding is required to the extent that the forfeiture consists  
18 of a money judgment, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(c)(1).  
19

20 NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

- 21 1) Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), the  
22 Defendant's interest in the above-identified sum of money is fully and finally forfeited, in  
23 its entirety, to the United States;
- 24 2) No right, title, or interest in this sum of money exists in any party other  
25 than the United States;
- 26 3) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A)-(B), this order will become final  
27 as to the Defendant at the time he is sentenced, it will be made part of the sentence, and it  
28 will be included in the judgment;

4) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy, in whole or in part, this money judgment the United States may move to amend this order, at any time, to substitute property having a value not to exceed \$1,625,532.84; and

5) The Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing this order.

DATED this 13<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2019.

THE HON. JAMES L. ROBERT  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presented by:

*s/Neal B. Christiansen*  
Neal B. Christiansen  
Assistant United States Attorney  
United States Attorney's Office  
700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220  
Seattle, WA 98101-1271  
(206) 553-2242  
E-Mail: Neal.Christiansen2@usdoj.gov